## Office of the Consumer Advocate

PO Box 23135 **Terrace on the Square** St. John's, NL Canada A1B 4J9

Tel: 709-724-3800 Fax: 709-754-3800

September 14, 2021

Board of Commissions of Public Utilities 120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 2140 St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

## Attention: G. Cheryl Blundon, Director of **Corporate Services / Board Secretary**

Dear Ms. Blundon:

## Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - 2022 Capital Budget Application

Further to the above-captioned, enclosed are the Consumer Advocate's Requests for Information numbered CA-NLH-001 to CA-NLH-069.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please contact the undersigned at your convenience

Yours truly,

Dennis Browne, O.C

Encl. /bb

cc

Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro Shirley Walsh (Shirley Walsh@nlh.nl.ca) NLH Regulatory (NLHRegulatory@nlh.nl.ca)

Newfoundland Power Inc.

Dominic Foley (dfoley@newfoundlandpower.com) NP Regulatory (regulatory@newfoundlandpower.com) Lindsay Hollett (Ihollett@newfoundlandpower.com)

**Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities** PUB Official Email (ito@pub.nl.ca) Jacqui Glynn (jglynn@pub.nl.ca)

Industrial Customers Group

Paul Coxworthy (pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com) Dean Porter (dporter@poolealthouse.ca) Denis Fleming (dfleming@coxandpalmer.com)

Labrador Interconnected Group Senwung Luk (sluk@oktlaw.com) Julia Brown (jbrown@oktlaw.com) **IN THE MATTER OF** the *Public Utilities Act*, RSNL 1990, (the "Act"); and

**IN THE MATTER OF** an Application by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") for an Order approving: (i) its 2022 capital budget pursuant to Section 41(1) of the Act; (ii) its 2022 capital purchases and construction projects in excess of \$50,000.00 pursuant to Section 41(3)(a) of the Act; and (iii) for an Order pursuant to Section 78 of the Act fixing and determining its average rate base for 2020

## CONSUMER ADVOCATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CA-NLH-001 to CA-NLH-069

Issued: September 14, 2021

1 2 3 4 5	CA-NLH-001	(Reference Application) Please provide a table showing regulated rate base, revenue requirement, capital budget amount proposed, capital budget amount approved, capital budget amounts expended, and year-over-year rate change for each of the last 20 years and forecast for the years 2021 through 2026.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CA-NLH-002	<ul> <li>(Reference Application) Further to CA-NLH-001, for the years when Hydro did not spend the entire capital budget amount approved by the Board:</li> <li>a) Explain why the approved amounts were not spent.</li> <li>b) Did Hydro fail to meet its mandate in those years? If not, why not? If so, how, and to what extent, were customers impacted?</li> <li>c) In years when Hydro underspends approved capital budget amounts that were required for it to meet its mandate, does the governing legislation provide the Board with any ability to request an explanation from Hydro for any adverse impacts upon customers arising from this underspending?</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20	CA-NLH-003	(Reference Application) Further to CA-NLH-001, for the years when Hydro overspent capital budget amounts approved by the Board, has the Board ever failed to approve the over-spent amount, and if so, what was the Board's explanation?
21 22 23 24	CA-NLH-004	(Reference Application) Please provide a list of the dates for all public hearings that the Board has held on Hydro capital budget applications in the past 25 years.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	CA-NLH-005	<ul> <li>(Reference Application) In the test years for its GRAs,</li> <li>a) Does Hydro use a historic or forward test year?</li> <li>b) Has Hydro ever used a historic test year in a GRA?</li> <li>c) Under current legislation is Hydro allowed to base its cost of service study on a historic test year?</li> <li>d) When developing a cost of service study for a forward test year, does Hydro forecast cost data for the test year, or simply make adjustments to data from a historic year?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> </ul>	CA-NLH-006	(Reference Application) In Order No. P.U. 16(2019) the Board directed Hydro to "file its next GRA no later than September 30, 2020 for rates based on a 2021 Test Year". Hydro requested a delay in the filing owing to uncertainties relating to Muskrat Falls and rate mitigation and the resulting inability of Hydro to "prepare a GRA filing that would reasonably reflect the costs that Hydro will incur in providing electrical service to its customers for use in determining proposed customer rates." (see April 15, 2019 letter from Hydro to the Board titled "Application to Delay the Filing of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's Next General Rate Application"). By Order P.U. 15 (2020) the Board approved Hydro's request to delay the filing of its next General Rate Application.

1 2 3 4 5 6		<ul><li>a) Please provide an update. Does Hydro now have the necessary information, and if so, when will Hydro file its next GRA?</li><li>b) Based on the information now available on the Government's rate mitigation policy (domestic island customer rate target of 14.7 cents/kWh) what is Hydro's best estimate of rates in 2022, 2023 and 2024?</li></ul>
7 8 9	CA-NLH-007	(Reference Application) When did Hydro last complete a load research study? Does Hydro have the ability to develop typical load profiles for its customers that might be used, for example, to manage EV charger demand?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CA-NLH-008	Although AMI might be judged to be uneconomic, in the interests of fairness and transparency, might it be beneficial to install AMI infrastructure at this time as the electricity services sector adds more non-wires alternatives and behind-the-meter generation, in addition to environmental responses such as electrification? What is the expected cost for Hydro to implement AMI infrastructure?
17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37	CA-NLH-009	<ul> <li>(Reference CA-NP-110 of NP's 2022-2023 GRA) Mr. Coyne states that he "agrees that regulation is intended to serve as a substitute or surrogate for competition in markets that are not competitive, such as regulated public utilities, which are generally considered to be natural monopolies."</li> <li>a) Does Hydro agree? Is this statement universally accepted both in this jurisdiction and elsewhere in Canada and the United States?</li> <li>b) Does Hydro agree that it is a monopoly electric generation, transmission and distribution service provider in its designated franchise area?</li> <li>c) Does Hydro agree that regulation has two primary functions: 1) to serve as a surrogate or substitute for competition in markets that are not competitive, and 2) to ensure customers are not subjected to market power abuse and predatory pricing practices by monopoly service providers?</li> <li>d) Is it the Board's responsibility to regulate Hydro and Newfoundland Power to ensure that: 1) the regulatory regime serves as a surrogate or substitute for competitive sector, and 2) customers are not subjected to market power abuse and predatory pricing practices of the utilities also bear some of this responsibility?</li> <li>e) Under current legislation, what actions are available to the Board if it finds that a utility is practicing predatory pricing?</li> </ul>
38 39 40 41 42	CA-NLH-010	<ul> <li>(Reference Application)</li> <li>a) In a competitive environment do businesses respond to their operating environment and economic conditions or do they disregard these negatives with a continued expectation that customers will not make any adjustments themselves to these negatives?</li> </ul>

- b) Is it the role of the Board and the regulatory process to ensure that the 1 Province's electric utilities respond appropriately to the existing current 2 economic situation in the province, or do the utilities also bear some of this 3 responsibility? 4 c) Does Hydro believe that regulation should act as a surrogate for 5 competition when determining a reasonable return for itself, but not with 6 7 respect to the resulting costs imposed on its customers? d) Could ignoring the poor Provincial economy and its impact on customers 8 9 be considered a form of market power abuse and predatory pricing, or is Hydro of the opinion that this falls under the responsibility of the Board, 10 so if the Board approves Hydro's cost proposals it has in fact decided that 11 the economic impacts on customers have been adequately addressed? Does 12 Hydro believe that the Board must consider impacts of the economy on 13 competitive companies and their ability to extract higher prices from 14 customers and replicate these impacts in its decisions? 15 e) Are Hydro and Newfoundland Power subject to the same legislation in the 16 Province? If Hydro believes legislation treats the two utilities differently, 17 please identify the differences. 18 19 f) Does Hydro believe that it has a corporate responsibility to take into 20 consideration the economic impacts of the poor Provincial economy on customers? Does Hydro believe that this is part of its mandate? If so, and 21 Newfoundland Power believes it has no such obligation, does Hydro 22 believe the Board has an obligation to rule against Newfoundland Power's 23 proposals on the basis that it is not meeting its mandate? 24 25 CA-NLH-011 (Reference Application) Has the Board ever approved a capital budget 26 envelope for Hydro rather than individual projects in a capital budget 27 application? Has Hydro commissioned a legal opinion with respect to Board 28 authority to approve a capital budget envelope under current legislation? If so, 29 please file the legal opinion. 30 31 32 CA-NLH-012 (Reference Application) If the Board were to authorize a fixed amount of capital expenditure(s) by Hydro in 2022 that is less than \$84,714,000 and if 33 the Board were to do so without rejecting any particular proposed capital 34 expenditure(s), would Hydro have the judgement, expertise and tools to 35 determine what of its proposed 2022 capital expenditures can be 36 accommodated within that fixed amount of capital expenditures considering 37 both work priority and execution capability? Specifically, under this scenario 38
  - 42 CA-NLH-013 (Reference Application) With respect to the prioritization process used in the
     43 2022 Capital Budget Application.

1, Appendix H?

how would Hydro make use of the project prioritization outlined in Table H-

39

40 41

44a) Which entity within Hydro is responsible for developing project45prioritization?

1 b) Please provide all documentation between Hydro senior management and 2 line managers relating to prioritization and cost efficiencies during the preparation of the 2022 CBA. 3 c) Please provide any documentation from Hydro senior management to line 4 managers with respect to the 2022 CBA relating to budget control in light 5 6 of rate pressures brought on by the Muskrat Falls Project and the economic 7 downturn in the Province. d) If there is no such documentation, please explain how Hydro senior 8 management communicated to line managers which capital projects were 9 to be included in the 2022 CBA, and which capital projects were to be 10 included in Hydro's planned 2023 to 2026 capital expenditures. 11 12 13 CA-NLH-014 (Reference Application Volume 1, para. 5, page 2 of Application) It is stated "The 2022 Capital Budget Application request for approval does not include 14 other planned 2022 expenditures related to supplemental applications 15 currently before the Board or anticipated to be filed with the Board in 2022." 16 17 Please identify all planned and anticipated supplemental applications in 2022 along with the current cost estimates. 18 19 20 CA-NLH-015 (Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 1) "In its aim to balance the provision of reliable service with cost 21 management, Hydro focuses on sound utility asset management practices, 22 condition-based investments (versus age-based investments) where 23 appropriate, and operational and system requirements. Hydro also seeks to 24 engage with stakeholders and customers to inform its capital investment 25 considerations." 26 (a) What changes has Hydro made to its asset management plan and practices 27 since its 2021 Capital Budget Application? 28 (b) It is understood that Hydro is considering adoption of a formal asset 29 management plan such as ISO55000 as proposed by Midgard. Please 30 confirm or deny, and if confirmed, please provide the implementation 31 schedule. 32 (c) What is the expected cost to implement a formal asset management plan 33 such as ISO55000? 34 (d) What benefits does Hydro expect as a result of implementation of a formal 35 asset management plan such as ISO55000? 36 (e) How has Hydro engaged stakeholders and customers with respect to its 37 2022 Capital Budget Application? More specifically, explain and show 38 39 how customer preferences have been incorporated in the 2022 Capital Budget Application. 40 41 CA-NLH-016 (Reference Application) Has Hydro embedded productivity savings as a 42 bottom-line adjustment in its 2022 Capital Budget Application? Does Hydro 43 believe that a well-run utility is continually finding ways to complete its work 44 programs at lower cost? 45

1 CA-NLH-017 (Reference Application) Please provide a summary of all benchmarking exercises performed by Hydro relating to costs and performance that have 2 3 been incorporated in the 2022 Capital Budget Application. Specifically, show 4 how Hydro spending and performance compares to a peer group including Newfoundland Power and provide relevant information on each peer included 5 6 in the group. 7 8 CA-NLH-018 (Reference Application) a) Specifically, what is Hydro's mandate? 9 b) Provide Hydro's definition of "reliable service" and all reliability criteria 10 11 used to define "reliable service". 12 c) Is it a requirement under current legislation that Hydro provide service 13 commensurate with the value its customers place on the service? Does Hydro attempt to do so? Please explain. 14 15 16 CA-NLH-019 (Reference Application) How has Hydro ensured that its 2022 Capital Budget 17 provides an appropriate balance between reliability, rate impacts, and the value customers place on service? Please provide customer surveys and 18 19 documentation relating to customer feedback that Hydro has relied upon to 20 determine the appropriate balance between reliability, rate impacts, and the value customers place on service, and please provide specific references to 21 22 customer input and feedback used in the development of the 2022 Capital 23 Budget Application.

CA-NLH-020 (Reference Application) Please identify all reliability risk metrics used by
 Hydro in the 2022 Capital Budget Application. What risk mitigation value is
 provided by Hydro's asset management program; i.e., the difference between
 baseline risk and residual risk?

24

29

33

38

41

- CA-NLH-021 (Reference Application) Please provide a summary of all laboratory testing
   conducted by Hydro in the 2022 Capital Budget Application to verify the need
   for asset replacement.
- CA-NLH-022 (Reference Application) What is the overall improvement in productivity
   stemming from the projects included in the 2022 Capital Budget Application?
   Please identify the expected cost savings and provide an estimate of the impact
   on rates.
- CA-NLH-023 (Reference Application) Please provide for the hydro plants: age, capacity,
   annual energy production, storage capacity and levelized cost.
- 42 CA-NLH-024 (Reference Application) Please provide a detailed calculation of the cost to
  43 own and operate Hydro's small hydro facilities (with capacity less than 1
  44 MW), and the amount of money recovered annually from customers
  45 attributable to Hydro's small hydro generation facilities.

CA-NLH-025 (Reference Application) Is Hydro considering retirement of any of its small 1 hydro generating facilities? Please file any studies Hydro has completed on its 2 3 small hydro generation facilities, specifically, those with capacities that are less than 1 MW. Will these facilities remain used and useful following 4 5 commissioning of Muskrat Falls? 6 7 CA-NLH-026 (Reference Application) Is Hydro concerned about the utility death spiral? 8 Why or why not? How has Hydro incorporated the advent of distributed 9 energy resources and non-wires alternatives in its 2022 Capital Budget Application? 10 11 12 CA-NLH-027 (Reference Application) Please identify all projects in the 2022 CBA where Hydro has quantified the risks and benefits to customers of not proceeding 13 with the projects in 2022. Identify the projects, and provide the risk and benefit 14 15 quantities in a summary table format. 16 17 CA-NLH-028 (Reference Application) In light of existing and proposed 'green energy' 18 initiatives by the governments of Canada and Newfoundland and Labrador, 19 has Hydro analyzed the possibility that its past and proposed future capital 20 expenditures on thermal capacity and energy may become stranded? If so, please provide copies of all such analyses. 21 22 23 CA-NLH-029 (Reference Application) In 2020 what was the capital cost per megawatt of Hydro's thermal capacity and its production cost per kilowatt hour of thermal 24 25 energy? 26 27 CA-NLH-030 (Reference Application) In 2020 what was the capital cost per megawatt of Hydro's hydro capacity? Is there a production cost per kWh for Hydro's hydro 28 29 capacity? 30 31 CA-NLH-031 (Reference Application) Please provide the date of all customer surveys undertaken by Hydro in the last 10 years that provide insight into the value 32 that each class of customers puts on increased reliability. Explain the 33 34 conceptual approach that was used in each case to determine the value of increased reliability (e.g., willingness to pay). For the most recent customer 35 survey, please provide documentation of the questions and methodology used, 36 and all reports that were provided by any external consultant and by internal 37 38 staff that assess and/or interpret the responses received. 39 (Reference Application) Please provide the increase in total rates (monetary 40 **CA-NLH-032** and percentage) that will be charged to each customer rate class of Hydro, by 41 billing determinant, as a result of the Muskrat Falls Project coming into 42 service. Please provide the expected impact on electricity demand by rate class 43

1 2 3 4		as a result of these rate increases. Include details of the price elasticity assumptions used relative to the elasticity assumptions used in quantifying the impact of rate increases on demand. Please provide copies of all elasticity studies that Hydro has undertaken or commissioned in the past five years.
5 6 7 8 9	CA-NLH-033	(Reference Application) Please provide a detailed description of the procedure used to respond to unanticipated capital expenditures that arise during a fiscal year after the capital budget has been approved.
10 11 12 13 14	CA-NLH-034	(Reference Application) Please provide a detailed description of the procedure used to respond to changes in circumstances of information that result in a modification in the economic justification of a capital project that eliminates the need to proceed with the project in that fiscal year.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CA-NLH-035	(Reference Application) For each of the past three fiscal years, please provide a list of all capital projects that that were (i) undertaken although not included in the capital budget as filed for that year, (ii) not completed although included in the capital budget as filed for that year, and (iii) modified in terms of the work completed or cost as compared to the project details included in the capital budget as filed for that year.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	CA-NLH-036	(Reference Application) Please provide details of Hydro's approach to assessing the relative cost of non-wires alternatives (NWAs) and distributed energy resources (DERs) to the capital investment in traditional assets that are included in Hydro's proposed capital plan, including any reports or analyses that show the comparative analysis for the projects included in the 2022 Capital Budget Application. If NWAs have not been considered, please explain why they have been excluded as options without a comparison of alternatives.
31 32 33 34 35 36	CA-NLH-037	(Reference Application) Please provide copies of any research Hydro may have undertaken itself, or may have received from third parties, pertaining to the consideration being given to NWAs in each of the other Canadian jurisdictions addressing the current practices of other Canadian integrated utilities, transmission companies and distributors.
37 38 39 40	CA-NLH-038	(Reference Application) Please provide copies of any research Hydro may have undertaken itself, or may have received from third parties, pertaining to the consideration being given to NWAs in each of the other Canadian jurisdictions addressing the current practices of Canadian regulators.
41 42 43 44 45	CA-NLH-039	(Reference Application) Please provide copies of any research Hydro may have undertaken itself, or may have received from third parties, pertaining to the consideration being given to NWAs in each of the other Canadian jurisdictions addressing policy and information gathering initiatives that have

1 2 3		been undertaken by integrated electric utilities, regulators, system operators and Canadian industry associations.
4 5 6 7 8 9	CA-NLH-040	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 1) " <i>Hydro also continues to refine its budgeting and integrated planning processes to support the efficient execution of its capital plans.</i> " Specifically, how has Hydro refined its budgeting and integrated planning processes in the 2022 Capital Budget Application?
10 11 12 13 14 15	CA-NLH-041	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 1) "the total planned 2022 capital spend to be recovered through customer rates is \$102.9 million". It goes on to say that this <b>does not</b> include \$13.5 million of fully contributed capital. Please reconcile this capital spend figure with "Hydro's 2022 Capital Budget of \$84.7 million" (page 2).
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CA-NLH-042	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 4) "Hydro realigned projects based on the condition of the assets, enabling adjustment to the time frames associated with project execution such that, in some instances, projects are proposed to be completed at later times than previously assessed." Please identify the specific projects considered for inclusion in the 2022 Capital Budget Application that were delayed to a later time frame as a result of realignment.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	CA-NLH-043	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 7) " <i>Hydro has included a segmentation of its capital projects according to the categories identified by Midgard</i> ." Has Hydro introduced any other changes related to the Capital Budget Guidelines recommended by Midgard in its 2022 Capital Budget Application? If so, please identify the changes. If not, why not?
31 32 33 34 35 36	CA-NLH-044	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 10) " major work at the Holyrood Combustion Turbine, including the Increase Fuel and Water Treatment System Capacity project and Turbine Hot Gas Path Inspection and Overhaul project." Why is "major" work needed on the relatively new Holyrood combustion turbine?
30 37 38 39 40 41 42	CA-NLH-045	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (page 11) "There are no proposed capital projects for either the Hardwoods or Stephenville Gas Turbines in the 2022 CBA or in the five-year capital plan; Hydro plans to retire both of these units in 2023." Is this supported by the results of the Reliability and Supply Adequacy Study?
42 43 44 45	CA-NLH-046	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Overview) It is stated (pages 19 and 20) "On a pro forma basis, Hydro's 2022 and 2023 revenue requirement is estimated to increase by approximately \$2 million and \$8

million, respectively, as a result of the capital projects proposed for 2022. Such a revenue requirement increase would represent an increase of 0.4% and 1.2% in 2022 and 2023, respectively, relative to Hydro's 2019 Test Year." Are any cost savings/reductions expected in 2022 and 2023 as a result of the Muskrat Falls project coming on line in late 2021?

- 7 CA-NLH-047 (Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application - Five-8 year Capital Plan (2022 – 2026)) It is stated (page 2) "General Plant (\$66 9 million): Driven primarily by the requirement to install plant heating at the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station ("Holyrood TGS") following the 10 transition to a synchronous condensing facility, along with renewal of Hydro's 11 12 information systems, transportation, and telecontrol assets." Specifically, how much is the cost to install heating equipment at Holyrood TGS and was 13 this considered in the assessment to convert Unit 3 to synchronous condenser 14 15 operation?
- 17CA-NLH-048(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application Five-18year Capital Plan (2022 2026)) It is stated (page 10) "Hydro's five-year plan19reflects investment of approximately \$604 million in plant and equipment over20the 2022–2026 period". Hydro is proposing to spend \$84,714,000 in its 202221CBA. This leaves about \$519 million over the remaining 4 years, or about22\$130 million annually. Why is there such a significant increase (about 53%)23in the years 2023 through 2026?
- CA-NLH-049 (Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application –
   Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Overview Future Operation and
   Capital Expenditure Requirements) Figure 2 shows that capital expenditures
   on Holyrood Unit 3 for operation in synchronous condenser mode are forecast
   to be about \$31.8 million over the next 5 years.
  - a) Are forecast operation and maintenance costs for unit 3 synchronous condenser operation expected to average about \$1.2 million annually (Appendix B)?
  - b) What other options are available to provide this service going forward and how do they compare to Unit 3 costs? Please file all available economic assessments of alternatives for meeting synchronous condenser needs that are expected to be met by Holyrood Unit 3.
- 38 CA-NLH-050 (Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application –
   39 Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Overview Future Operation and
   40 Capital Expenditure Requirements)
- a) Would it be less expensive to install new combustion turbine unit(s) at the
   Holyrood site than to maintain Holyrood in a backup mode going forward,
   particularly if generation is needed anyway (depending on the results of
   the Reliability and Supply Adequacy Study)?

1

2 3

4

5

6

16

24

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

1 2 3 4 5		<ul><li>b) Could combustion turbines be used to replace the requirement for Holyrood Unit 3 synchronous condenser operation?</li><li>c) If the Reliability and Supply Adequacy study shows that new generating capacity is needed, is it likely to be installed at the Holyrood site?</li></ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10	CA-NLH-051	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application – 2021 Capital Expenditures Overview) It appears that Hydro over-stated project costs for 2021. Has Hydro made any changes to improve its cost estimating practices in the 2022 CBA?
10 11 12 13 14	CA-NLH-052	(Reference Application Volume 2, Hydro Command Center Upgrade (2022) – Hydro Place) Is leasing a command center, and for that matter, a metering, billing and settlement system, a viable option? Was leasing considered?
15 16 17	CA-NLH-053	(Reference Application Volume 2) Has Hydro considered leasing all of its IT hardware and software from a third-party?
17 18 19 20 21	CA-NLH-054	(Reference Application Volume 2, Replace Battery Banks and Chargers (2022) – Various) How will Hydro dispose of the existing batteries and chargers and what will it cost? Is there a salvage value?
22 23 24 25 26	CA-NLH-055	(Reference Application Volume 2, Install Recloser Remote Control (2022) – Various) What are the expected cost savings to customers resulting from the recloser remote control program? Please quantify the expected improvement in SAIDI.
20 27 28 29	CA-NLH-056	(Reference Application Volume 2, Install Recloser Remote Control (2022) – Various) What are the units for "Definition" used in Table A-1 for Factor 6?
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	CA-NLH-057	<ul> <li>(Reference Application Volume 2, Additions for Load (2022) – Mary's Harbour Service Conductor)</li> <li>a) Will the new service request that is driving the need for the project be subsidized by Island customers? If so, how much will the project cost Island customers both in terms of initial capital and ongoing supply costs?</li> <li>b) Will the new customer be required to contribute to the cost of the project?</li> <li>c) Is the supply to the fish plant that opened in 2013 being subsidized by Island customers? If so, how much is supply to this customer costing Island customers?</li> <li>d) Were any system upgrades required when the fish plant came on in 2013? If so, did the customer contribute to the costs?</li> <li>e) Please file Hydro's connection policy with respect to new customers on isolated systems.</li> </ul>
44 45	CA-NLH-058	(Reference Application Volume 2, Purchase 46' Material Handler Aerial Device on Track Unit)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		<ul> <li>a) Is leasing an option?</li> <li>b) Are aerial devices used for preventative maintenance only? If used for emergencies, how practical is it to transport a 46' aerial device to the site of the problem?</li> <li>c) Does Newfoundland Power use similar aerial devices? Please provide a comparison of Hydro's current and proposed aerial devices to those of Newfoundland Power and explain any differences with respect to maintenance needs.</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	CA-NLH-059	<ul> <li>(Reference Application Volume 2, Replace Metering System) It is stated (page 9) "While the proposed AMR system does not enable the billing of time-of-use ("TOU") rates, a recent review conducted by Dunsky Energy Consulting concluded that the Island system benefits of TOU pricing could not justify the additional cost of a full implementation of an AMI system at this time."</li> <li>a) Did Dunsky take into account other rate design considerations such as customer choice and providing customers with a level of control over their electricity bills? If so, please provide the references in the Dunsky report.</li> <li>b) Did Dunsky consider how the advent of distributed energy resources and non-wires alternatives might make a billing system that enables time-of-use rates desirable? Has Hydro considered how distributed energy resources might make time-of-use rates desirable?</li> <li>c) Would time-of-use rates be consistent with Hydro's electrification program? Please explain.</li> <li>d) If time-of-use rates were determined to be feasible by 2030, would that make Hydro's proposed metering system program obsolete about 5 years after installation?</li> <li>e) Please show the analysis in Table 1 (page 5) and Figure 1 (page 6) assuming the AMR system in Alternative 4 is replaced in 2030 with a mesh AMI metering system that enables time-of-use rates (Alternative 3).</li> </ul>
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	CA-NLH-060	(Reference Application Volume 2, Install Fire Protection in Diesel Plants (2022-2023) - Ramea) Please provide a table identifying each fire at a diesel plant in the past 20 years and showing the costs of remediation. Further, please identify each diesel plant included in the fire protection program and the associated costs to install the equipment.
37 38 39	CA-NLH-061	Please provide any information in Hydro's possession regarding the status and future plans relating to the wind farm situate at Ramea.
40 41 42 43 44 45	CA-NLH-062	(Reference Application Volume 2, Upgrading of Worst-Performing Distribution Feeders (2022-2023) It is stated (page 9) " <i>Rerouting Section B of this line will reduce power outage incidents and materially improve overall performance</i> ." Is " <i>rerouting</i> " this section of the line the same as building a new line on a different right-of-way? Does Hydro have access to a different right-of-way or can this work be done on the existing right-of-way? How much

1 2 2		of the \$2.8 million project cost is related to this component of the proposed project?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CA-NLH-063	<ul> <li>(Reference Application Volume 2, Diesel Genset Replacement Unit 2039 – St. Lewis and Unit 2012 – L'Anse au Loup)</li> <li>a) Do these diesel stations have remote fire protection?</li> <li>b) What is the probability that the diesels could become stranded before the end of their assumed life?</li> <li>c) In the case of Unit 2012 replacement, does Hydro need "<i>firm backup</i>" for this system given that the diesel units will replace power from Quebec only when interrupted, expected to be about 6% of the time? Please explain.</li> <li>d) Has Hydro studied the technical feasibility and economics of supplementing the L'Anse au Loup system with wind generation? In particular, what has been the cost per kWh for purchases from Quebec and how does that compare to the energy cost from a wind facility that could be added to the system?</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22	CA-NLH-064	(Reference Application) What is Hydro's current plan for adding electric vehicles to its fleet and what are the expected costs over the next five years? How many EV charging stations does Hydro currently own that are exclusively for its own use?
23 24 25 26 27	CA-NLH-065	(Reference Application) What is Hydro's current estimate of the marginal value of capacity and energy over the next five years? Please provide a comparison to actual sales of capacity and energy with transmission/wheeling costs shown separately for 2020 and year-to-date 2021.
28 29 30 31 32 33	CA-NLH-066	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application – Five- year Capital Plan ( $2022 - 2026$ ) page 8) Regarding the plan to connect certain communities in southern Labrador to a single diesel generating station in Port Hope Simpson, has Hydro considered the technical and economic viability of integrating wind energy facility as a supplemental source of energy?
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	CA-NLH-067	(Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application – Five- year Capital Plan (2022 – 2026)) The five-year plan does not refer to the possibility of constructing Bay d'Espoir Unit 8. Has Hydro ruled out that project as unnecessary or uneconomic for meeting any possible capacity shortfalls following the commission of Muskrat Falls? Has Hydro factored in its planning process the end of the contractual obligations under the Churchill Falls Project in 2041 and the impact this will have on the availability of electricity for the province?
42 43 44 45	CA-NLH-068	((Reference Application Volume 1, 2022 Capital Budget Application – Five- year Capital Plan ( $2022 - 2026$ )). Has Hydro investigated the economics of integrating new wind energy facilities with its island plant as a means to

1 2		increase water storage and thereby allow hydraulic resources to better meet winter peak demands should post Muskrat Falls capacity be insufficient?
3 4	CA-NLH-069	(Reference Application) Please provide a list that for each response to a
5 6		Request for Information (CA-NLH-001 to CA-NLH-069) shows the name(s) of the individual(s) who prepared, or take(s) responsibility for, the response.

**DATED** at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this <u>14<sup>th</sup></u> day of September, 2021.

Per:

Dennis Browne, Q.C Consumer Advocate Terrace on the Square, Level 2, P.O. Box 23135 St. John's, Newfoundland & Labrador A1B 4J9

Duo

 Telephone:
 (709) 724-3800

 Telecopier:
 (709) 754-3800

 Email:
 dbrowne@bfma-law.com